



**Government of Nepal
Ministry of Industry, Commerce and Supply
Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock Development**

**Strategic Road Connectivity and Trade Improvement Project
(SRCTIP)-Trade Facilitation Component**

Indigenous People Planning Framework (IPPF)

March 2020

Table of the Content

Title	Page No.
Executive Summary	
1. Introduction	4
2. Project Description: SRCTIP-Trade Facilitation Component	6
3. Potential Issues and Impacts on IPS	6
3.1 Situation of IP Communities in Project Area	8
3.2 Potential Impacts on IPs	8
4. Legal, Policy and Regulatory Frameworks	9
4.1 Relevant Policies on Indigenous Peoples	9
4.2 Requirements of FPIC (Free and Prior Informed Consent) of IPs	10
5. Preparation of the Indigenous People Development Plan (IPDP)	11
5.1 Objectives of IPDP	11
5.2 Steps for the IPDP preparation	12
5.3 Screening	13
5.4 Social Impact Assessment	13
5.5 Suggested Format for IPDP	14
5.6 Sub-Project Approval	14
5.7 Key Milestone Linked with the Project Implementation	14
6. Implementation of the Specific Measures to IPs	16
7. Stakeholder Engagement, Public Consultation and Disclosure	17
8. Grievance Redress Mechanism	19
9. Implementation Arrangements	19
10. Monitoring and Evaluation of IPDP	20
Annex 1: Outline of elements in an IPDP	21

Executive Summary

1. Introduction

This Indigenous Peoples Planning Framework (IPPF) provides policy, strategy, process and procedures to understand project impacts on Indigenous Peoples (IPs) under the Strategic Road Connectivity and Trade Improvement Project (SRCTIP)-Trade Facilitation Component, to be implemented by the Ministry of the Industry Commerce and Supply (MoICS) and Ministry of the Agriculture and Livestock Development (MoALD) and funded by the World Bank. This IPPF has prepared relevant strategies in full compliance with Government of Nepal (GON) policies and with Environmental and Social Standard (ESS)7: Indigenous People of the World Bank's Environmental and Social Framework (ESF).

2. Project Description and: SRCTIP-Trade Facilitation Component

The SRCTIP-Trade Component activities broadly cover the construction and improvement of the boarder infrastructure and laboratories at the various location of the Inland Container Depot viz; Biratnagar, Birjung and Bhairawaha. Likewise the selected access road improvement and parking yard development will be carried out in corresponding location.

The IPPF has been developed at this stage of the project given that the scale and exact locations of project activities to be implemented under this component are not yet determined. Details will be determined when the relevant feasibility studies as well as Environmental and Social Studies are conducted during the detail design phase. Adhering to this framework the project/sub project should develop Indigenous People Development Plan (IPDP). This will be identified during the project screening and ESA (if any) by the SRCTIP-trade Component.

3. Potential Impacts on Indigenous Peoples

At this stage the exact locations of project activities to be implemented under this component are not yet determined. Details of the implementation will be determined when the relevant feasibility studies as well as Environmental and Social Studies are conducted during the detail design phase.

The SRCTIP-Trade Facilitation Component is not expected to:

- Require the acquisition of any land of IP communities
- Relocate any IP communities from their location
- Have any significant impact on the cultural practices of IP communities

Whilst the overall environmental and social risk classification of the project is high due to the road component of the SRCTIP, the trade facilitation component will likely have moderate to substantial environmental and social risks and impacts given that most of the subprojects will involve rehabilitation and improvements of existing border infrastructure and laboratories. Specific sites and subprojects have not been selected yet some of the construction-related impacts might expected on Indigenous Peoples (IPs) and IP communities although the scale is expected to be manageable. Also, based on experience in Nepal, occupational and community health and

safety, labor influx, gender-based violence, child and forced labor and poor labor and working conditions of workers are the some of the anticipated impacts that equally impact on the IP communities if any.

4. Legal, Policy and Regulatory Frameworks

Nepal does not have a standalone policy on Indigenous Peoples. However, in the Tenth Plan significant emphasis has been placed on delivering basic services to the disadvantaged people such as indigenous community. Likewise, 14th Development Plan adopts inclusive and equitable development strategy to uplift the living standard of the Adibasi/Janajati. In 2007 the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples was adopted by the General Assembly. Nepal ratified ILO Convention No. 169 on September 14, 2007 (BS 2064/05/28).

The World Bank ESS on indigenous peoples, ESS7, underscores the need for borrowers and Bank staff to identify indigenous peoples, consult with them, ensure that they participate in, and benefit from Bank-funded operations in a culturally appropriate way - and that adverse impacts on them are avoided, or where not feasible, minimized or mitigated.

As the SRCTIP-Trade Facilitation component is not expected to require the acquisition of any land belonging to IP communities, nor relocate any IP communities from their location, nor have any significant impact on the cultural practices of IP communities, a process of Free and Prior Informed Consent (FPIC) of the Indigenous communities is not expected to be required, however meaningful consultation with IP communities will be conducted. Whether FPIC is required will be confirmed during detailed design.

5. Preparation of the Indigenous People Development Plan (IPDP)

The SRCTIP-Trade Facilitation Component's Project Coordination Unit (PCU) environmental and social (E&S) team, with the support from the consultant, will prepare an Indigenous People Development Plan (IPDP) if it is determined that the sub project will have significant impacts on IPs. The PCU will submit the IPDP to the World Bank for final approval.

During the planning and design phase of the sub project, a screening survey will be carried out based on group discussions with the communities in the sub project area in order to identify presence of any IP communities which have a collective attachment to the project area.

The project will be responsible for conducting a social impact assessment (SIA) and the development of an action plan with the help of IP communities and organizations working for them. The SIA will gather relevant information on demographic, social, cultural; economic and networking aspects of the IPs.

6. Implementation of the Specific Measures to IPs

Specific implementation measures for indigenous peoples cover the development and implementation of various strategies such as inclusion, program planning and capacity development etc.

7. Stakeholder Engagement, Public Consultation and Disclosure

The development of the IPPF/IPDP will follow a participatory approach to enable IPs to have a role in project planning and the development process. Once the detailed project activities and location of activities have been determined, and any impacted IPs identified IPs due to the implementation of the project, IPs will be interviewed on an individual basis, and also consulted in group discussions and meetings in order to understand and collect their views on their needs, priorities, and preferences regarding the project implementation. Separate focus group discussions will be held with indigenous communities to assess the project impacts and benefits to these groups. Accordingly, the environmental and social impact assessment (ESA), IPDP, and resettlement action plan (RAP), will be prepared with their feedback of concerns, requests and recommendations fully considered.

8. Monitoring and Evaluation of IPDP

Regular monitoring of IPDP implementation will be conducted by the PCU E&S Team through the help of the consultant.

9. Grievance Redress Mechanism

In order to address the incoming grievances in regards to implementation of the SRCTIP- Trade Facilitation Component, two-level grievance redresses committee (GRC) will be formed, one at the project level and one at the Central (Ministry) Level. The project level GRC will record all the grievances at the site office and will analyze the grievances and submit its recommendation to the central level GRC for further action. The central level GRC will make a decision regarding the grievance and will send the decision to the project level GRC for disclosure to the complaining parties. The GRM will be functional throughout the project cycle.

10. Implementation Arrangement

The MoICS and MoALD will be the implementing agencies of the trade component. As such, a PCU will be established within MoICS/MoALD. The PCU will recruit specialists/consultants for procurement, environmental and social safeguards and engineers. The PCU will also hire a Construction Supervision Consultant to oversee and supervise the implementation.

1. Introduction

This Indigenous Peoples Planning Framework (IPPF) provides policy, strategy, process and procedures to understand project impacts on Indigenous Peoples (IPs) under the Strategic Road Connectivity and Trade Improvement Project (SRCTIP) – Trade Facilitation Component implemented by the Ministry of the Industry Commerce and Supply (MoICS) and Ministry of the Agriculture and Livestock Development (MoALD) and funded by the World Bank. This IPPF has prepared relevant strategies in full compliance with Government of Nepal (GON) and World Bank's Environmental and Social Framework, specific objectives of Environmental and Social Standard 7: Indigenous People (ESS7).

The IPPF is developed at this stage of the project given that the scale and exact locations of project activities to be implemented under this component are not yet determined. Details of the investments will be determined when the relevant feasibility studies as well as Environmental and Social Studies are conducted during the detail design phase. Adhering to this framework the project/sub project should develop Indigenous People Development Plan (IPDP) when needed. This will be identified during the project screening and ESA (if any) by the SRCTIP-trade Component.

2. Project Description: SRCTIP-Trade Facilitation Component

The proposed SRCTIP project interventions together are envisaged to reduce time and costs of transport and trade facilitation, increase safety and resilience of road improvements and enhance market access, and thereby significantly improve Nepal's transport and trade connectivity with its neighbors India and China and Bangladesh and Bhutan (via India).

SRCTIP-trade component will support the trade facilitation, wherein: i) the level and quality of border infrastructure will be improved in select locations in keeping with expected growth in trade volumes via those points, ii) selected labs will be enhanced with equipment and/or accreditation to enhance market access for agricultural commodities, iii) access roads sections will be improved for better linkage to transport and the trade, iv) the parking yards around ICDs will be further expanded and improved to enhance the connectivity and trade, and v) training and capacity building.

3. Potential Issues and Impacts on IPs

3.1 Situation of IP communities in Project Area

As per the World Bank Environment and Social Standard 7 (ESS7) the term indigenous people is used in a generic sense to refer exclusively to a distinct social and cultural group processing the following characteristics in varying degrees:

- a) Self – identification as member of distinct indigenous social and cultural group and recognition of this identity by others; and
- b) Collective attachment to geographically distinct habitats, ancestral territories, or areas of seasonal use or occupation, as well as to the natural resource in these areas; and
- c) Customary cultural, economic, social, or political institutions that are distinct or separate from those of the mainstream society or culture; and
- d) A distinct language or dialects, often different from the official language or languages of the country or region in which they reside.

The indigeneous (*Adibasi/janajati*) groups in Nepal are defined as social groups with a social and cultural identity distinct from the dominant society. National Foundation for Upliftment of Adivasi/Janjati Act, 2058 (2002) defines those ethnic groups and communities who have their own mother language and traditional rites and customs, distinct cultural identity, distinct social structure and written or unwritten history. The act has recognized 59 indigenous communities in Nepal.

These indigenous communities are known as Adivasi/Janjati in Nepali and Indigenous Nationalities in English as per the act. These groups as whole are generally considered to be the marginalized segment of the population who engage in economic activities ranging from hunting/gathering and shifting agriculture in or near forests to wage laborers or even small-scale market-oriented activities. However, *Adibasi/janajati* among themselves are diverse groups who do not all come under one economic system.

There are disparities among different *Adivasi Janajati* groups in Nepal. While *Adivasi Janajati* groups such as *Rautes* are still engaged in hunting and collecting food, *Chepangs* and *Kusundas* are practiced slash and burn, shifting cultivation and depend mainly on natural resources. On the other hands, *Newars*, *Thakalis* and *Gurungs* are more exposed to modernity and are involved in foreign employment, government and nongovernment services, industry and commerce.

Considering their diverse socioeconomic status, Nepal Federation of Indigenous Nationalities (*Adivasi Janajati*) (NEFIN) 2004, an umbrella organization of *Adivasi Janajati* groups has classified *Adivasi Janajati* groups into five categories (Table 1). Of the total 59 *Adivasi Janajati* groups, 10 groups are categorized as "endangered", 12 groups as "highly marginalized", 20 groups as "marginalized", 15 groups as "disadvantaged" and 2 groups as "advanced" or better off on the basis of a composite index consisting of literacy, housing, land holdings, occupation, language, education, and population size.

Table 3.1: Classification of Adivasi Janajatis in Nepal

Region	Classification of <i>Adivasi Janajatis</i>				
	Endangered (10)	Highly Marginalized (12)	Marginalized (20)	Disadvantaged (15)	Advanced (2)
Mountain (18)		<i>Shiyar, Shingsawa (Lhomi), and Thudam</i>	<i>Bote, Dolpo, Larke, Lhopa, Mugali, Tokpegola, and Walung</i>	<i>Bara Gaule, Byansi (Sauka), Chhaintan, MaparphaliThakali, Sherpa, Tangbe, andTingaulThakali</i>	<i>Thakali</i>
Hill (24)	<i>Bankariya, Hayu, Kusbadiya, Kusunda, Lepcha, and Sural</i>	<i>Baramu, Thami (Thangmi), and Chepang</i>	<i>Bhujel, Dura, Pahari, Phree, Sunuwar, and Tamang</i>	<i>Chhantyal, Gurung (Tamu), Jirel, Limbu (Yakthumba), Magar, Rai, Yakkha, &Hyolmo</i>	<i>Newar</i>
Inner Terai (7)	<i>Raji, andRaut</i>	<i>Bote, Danuwar, and Majhi</i>	<i>Darai, and Kumal</i>		

IPPF: SRCTIP-Trade Facilitation Component

Terai (10)	<i>Kisan, and Meche (Bodo)</i>	<i>Dhanuk (Rajbansi), Jhangad, and Santhal (Satar)</i>	<i>Dhimal, Gangai, Rajbansi (Koch), Tajpuriya, a nd Tharu</i>		
------------	--	--	---	--	--

Source: NEFIN, 2004

The proposed project area is a mixed type of community and there are no homogenous settlements especially for a particular group. The indigenous (Adivasi Janjati) communities of the project area, though belong to a different ethnicity and/or group, share common approach to their economic and livelihood activities. These groups will experience similar impacts from the project as part of a mosaic community.

3.2 Potential Impacts on IPs

At this stage the exact locations of project activities to be implemented under this component are not yet determined. Details of the implementation will be determined when the relevant feasibility studies as well as Environmental and Social Studies are conducted during the detail design phase.

The SRCTIP-Trade Facilitation Component do not expect

- Land acquisition
- Relocate any IP community from their location
- Have any significant impact on the cultural practice of IP communities

The Indigenous People Planning Framework (IPPF) is designed to ensure safeguard of the indigenous people affected by the implementation of the SRCTIP-Trade Facilitation Component. The SRCTIP-Trade Facilitation component is not expected to take any of the land hence do not relocate any IP communities. Similarly, by the nature of the project it can be expected that the culture and customary right of the IP communities is not have any significant adverse impact.

There are no specific impacts especially for this group. A common package that is developed for the project impacts will be applicable to all the affected population. However, the magnitude of these impacts for these groups might be higher because of their low marketing skills and lack of professional competencies. Therefore, Project will consider indigenous people that will minimize the magnitude of impacts and provide benefits; and will ensure their participation in the project cycles.

IPPF: SRCTIP-Trade Facilitation Component

While the project will have some adverse impact on indigenous communities, it has been assessed that they will also experience some positive impacts due to various development interventions like improved road access which come during the implementation of the SRCTIP-Trade facilitation component.

Given the employment and supply chain opportunities that will be created from the project, labor influx in project areas is expected and assessed as Substantial risk. Labor The focus of the SRCTIP-Trade Facilitation Component's operational procedure is to localize the economic benefits with minimal opportunities for outside labor to service work that require specialized/skilled labor that is not present in project localities. The priority for local labor (dependent on skill, experience capacity) is expected to minimize the risk of influx, where there is a requirement for special skills. For external workers, proper labor camp will be established. If it is necessary, there will be dedicated camps established for worker accommodation in the project.

Specific requirements to manage risks associated with labor influx, related to the interaction between project workers, local communities and IPs, such as gender-based violence most specifically sexual exploitation and abuse and sexual harassment, will be managed through contractual requirements, code of conduct and training set out in this document. These procedures are guided by national legislation, ESS2 and ESS4. The sub – component are located near the big cities viz: Birjung, Biratnagar, and Bhairawha so the impact of the labor influx will be manageable.

GBV risk assessment for the project is Substantial, indicating the potential for labor to create or exacerbate patterns of GBV, SEA risk. A large influx of male labor may lead to an increase in exploitative sexual relationships and human trafficking whereby women and girls are forced into sex work. This can lead to inappropriate and criminal behavior, such as sexual harassment of women and girls, exploitative sexual relations, and illicit sexual relations with minors from the local community in general and IP community in particular.

Trafficking in persons is defined as the recruitment, transportation, transfer, harboring or receipt of persons by means of the threat or use of force or other forms of coercion, abduction, fraud, deception, abuse of power, or of a position of vulnerability, or of the giving or receiving of payments or benefits to achieve the consent of a person having control over another person, for the purposes of exploitation. IPs as well as Women and children are particularly vulnerable to trafficking practices. Some of the sub- projects are located near the Nepal-India boarder area where the occurrence of human trafficking is existing. The project will enforce stringent requirements to against trafficking.

After the finalization of the relevant environment and social studies and the impact on the Indigenous people get identified. The project will develop a separate Indigenous people development plan (IPDP) that may include some IP specific programs will be prepared and implemented to minimize adverse impacts while maximizing project benefits to indigenous people. These program activities are proposed based on the assessment of project impacts, both positive and negative, and the consultation feedback of concerns and requests from the indigenous communities

4. Legal, Policy and Regulatory Frameworks

4.1 Relevant Policies on Indigenous Peoples

Nepal does not have a standalone policy on Indigenous Peoples, however in the Tenth Plan significant emphasis has been placed on delivering basic services to the disadvantaged people such as indigenous community. One of the main thrusts of the Tenth Plan is the implementation of targeted programs for the uplift, employment and basic security of indigenous people.

The policy provision also outlines that the government should pilot strong and separate package of program of basic security for vulnerable sections of society. Policies and action for their protection and development have also been developed in the plan. The plan states that targeted and empowerment programs shall be promoted to enhance the wellbeing of the vulnerable, disadvantaged and exploited groups.

14th Development Plan adopts inclusive and equitable development strategy to uplift the living standard of the excluded groups including, *Adibasi/Janajati*, women, people with disability and remote geographical areas and poor people of the various regions of the country from the prevailing discriminatory practices in the society. One of the strategies of its Social Development Policy is to increase the accessibility of socially, economically and geographically deprived class, region and community in the available resources by empowering them through the principles of equity and inclusion.

The plan emphasized to increase investment to support development by promoting inclusion of excluded communities, region and gender in all structure, sector and processes of the nation. The plan has given emphasis in implementing different types of income generation supportive program targeting the poor and vulnerable people

Similarly, the National Foundation for Upliftment of *Adivasi/Janjati* Act, 2058 (2002), the National Human Rights Action Plan 2005, the Environmental Act 1997, and the Forest Act 1993 have emphasized protection and promotion of indigenous peoples' knowledge in

particular. In 1999, the Local Self-Governance Act was amended to give more power to the local political bodies, including authority to promote, preserve, and protect the IP's language, religion, culture, and their welfare.

In 2007 the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples was adopted by the General Assembly. Nepal ratified ILO Convention No. 169 on September 14, 2007 (BS 2064/05/28). Article 1 of the convention provides a definition of tribal and indigenous peoples. Article 6 requires consultation with the peoples concerned through appropriate procedures and, in particular, through their representative institutions, whenever consideration is being given to legislative or administrative measures which may affect them directly. In Article 15, it states that indigenous and tribal peoples shall, wherever possible, participate in the benefits of natural resource utilization activities and shall receive fair compensation for any damages which they may sustain as a result of such activities. Article 16(2) clearly mentions that where the relocation of these peoples is considered necessary, such exceptional measures and such relocation shall take place only with their free and informed consent. Where their consent cannot be obtained, such relocation shall take place only following appropriate procedures established by national laws and regulations, including public inquiries where appropriate, which provide the opportunity for effective representation of the peoples concerned. Article 16(3) mentions that, whenever possible, these peoples shall have the right to return to their traditional land as soon as the grounds for relocation cease to exist. Article 16(5) specifies the persons thus relocated shall be fully compensated for any resulting loss or injury

The World Bank Standard on indigenous peoples, ESS7 underscores the need for borrowers and Bank staff to identify indigenous peoples, consult with them, ensure that they participate in, and benefit from Bank-funded operations in a culturally appropriate way - and that adverse impacts on them are avoided, or where not feasible, minimized or mitigated.

4.2 Requirements of FPIC (Free and Prior Informed Consent) of IPs

The concept FPIC has emerged as an international human rights standard that recognizes the collective rights of indigenous peoples to self-determination and to their lands and territories. FPIC is usually considered as a collective right of indigenous peoples to make decisions through their own freely chosen representatives and customary or other institutions and to give or withhold their consent prior to the approval by government, industry or other outside party of any project that may affect the lands, territories and resources that they customarily own, occupy or otherwise use. It is thus not a stand-alone right but an expression of a wider set of human rights protections that secure

indigenous peoples' rights to control their lives, livelihoods, lands and other rights and freedoms. In these regards, though Nepal has no any explicit legal and policy provision on FPIC, the Constitution of Nepal 2015, Article 51, Sub article J (8) has some implicit elements requiring FPIC (Free Prior Informed Consent) of Indigenous Nationalities while making any decisions concerning these people. The essence of this constitutional provision is to ensure the indigenous nationalities participate in decisions concerning their community by making special provisions for opportunities and benefits in order to ensure the right of these peoples to live with dignity, along with their identity, and protect and promote traditional knowledge, skill, culture, social tradition and experience of the indigenous nationalities and local communities.

The SRCTIP-Trade Facilitation Component do not expect to

- Land acquisition
- Relocate any IP community from their location
- Have any significant impact on the cultural practice of IP communities

The above criteria of the project generally do not require to conduct Free and Prior Informed Consent (FPIC) of the Indigenous community. However in case any of the sub project is fall beyond the above mentioned criteria, Free and Prior Informed Consent (FPIC) of the Indigenous community will be conducted.

5. Preparation of the Indigenous People Development Plan (IPDP)

The SRCTIP-Trade Facilitation Component's Project Coordination Unit (PCU) E &S team with the support from the consultant prepare Indigenous People Development Plan (IPDP) if the sub project has found of having significant impact on IP. The PCU will submit the IPDP to The World Bank for final approval. The components of IPDP are as follows:

5.1 Objectives of IPDP

The principal objectives of the framework are to:

- i. ensure that project engages in free, prior, and informed consultation with the IP communities wherever they are affected
- ii. ensure that project benefits are accessible to IP communities living in the project area
- iii. avoid any kind of adverse impact on the IP Communities to the extent possible and if unavoidable ensure that adverse impacts are minimized and mitigated

IPPF: SRCTIP-Trade Facilitation Component

- iv. ensure IP Communities' participation in the entire process of preparation; implementation and monitoring of the sub project activities
- v. minimize further social and economic imbalances within communities; and
- vi. develop appropriate training / income generation activities in accordance to their own defined needs and priorities.

5.2 Steps for IPDP preparation

The steps to be followed for IPDP are as follows:

- i. Screening to identify whether Indigenous communities are present or have collective attachment to, the project area
- ii. Social assessment and analysis to be carried out to address the social concerns of the subcomponent area from identified IP groups
- iii. Identifying views of the affected communities at each stage of the project, and particularly during project preparation
- iv. Since FPIC is not necessary, meaningful consultation is needed, and document the consultation process
- v. Institutional arrangements (including capacity building wherever necessary) for screening project-supported activities, evaluating their effects on IP, preparing IPDP (if required), and addressing grievances
- vi. The preparation of IPDP and approved and disclosed by MoCIS, MoALD SRCTIP-Trade component PCO and World Bank
- vii. Monitoring and reporting

5.3 Screening

During the planning and design phase of the sub project, screening survey will be carried out based on group discussion with the communities in the sub project area in order to identify presence of any IP communities that have collective attachment to the project area. Apart from the consultation with the community members, consultations / in depth interviews will also be carried out with the NGOs working in the area and representative of local self-government. The screening will look into the details of tribal/IP households, assessing the number of such households along the zone of influence of the proposed sub

project. If the result shows that there are tribal/IP communities, the issues related to the community will be included in the social impact assessment (SIA) survey.

5.4 Social Impact Assessment

The project will be responsible for conducting SIA and the development of an action plan with the help of IP communities and organizations working for them. The SIA will gather relevant information on demographic, social, cultural; economic and networking aspects of each household and needs of the community as a whole. The information on individual household will be collected through household survey whereas community based needs would be assessed through group discussions with the community as a whole as well as in discussion with the community leaders and other stakeholders. The discussion will focus on both positive and negative impacts of the sub project. The suggestion and feedback of the community taken through meaningful consultation will be incorporated on the design hence to ensure the broader community Support for the Project. and

5.5 Suggested format for IPDP

The suggested format for the IPDP is as follows

- Description of sub projects and implications for the IP community
- Gender disaggregated data on number of IP households by impact category
- Social, cultural and economic profile of the households/communities
- Land tenure information
- Documentation of consultations with the community to ascertain their views about the project design and mitigation measures
- Since FPIC is not required consultation exercise need to be documented
- Findings of need assessment of the community
- Community development plan based on the results of need assessment
- Modalities to ensure regular and meaningful engagement with the community
- Institutional arrangement and linkage with other national or state level programs
- Institutional mechanism for monitoring and evaluation of VCDP implementation and grievance redress
- Implementation Schedule and cost estimate for implementation

Further details outlining the main elements required in an IDPD are provided at Annex 1.

5.6 Sub-Project Approval

In the event that a sub-project has IP group in its project area, the project shall not approve the subproject until a satisfactory IPDP has been prepared and shared with the affected IP community. When Standalone IPDP is not needed because majority of beneficiaries of the sub component are specific IP, the project design should ensure all elements of the ESS7 incorporated in the sub-project.

5.7 Key Milestone Linked with the Project Implementation

Following Table provides the key milestone with the project implementation of the IPPF

Table 5.1: Key Milestone Linked with the Project Implementation

Project Milestone	Description	Activities
Feasibility Study	Commission a consultant assesses and advise on whether the project is technically feasible, financially viable, socially acceptable, and environmentally friendly	➤ Screening whether community get affected or not
Detail Design	Based on the finding of the Feasibility Study, number, nature infrastructure will be identified, and corresponding design will finalized	➤ Information disclosure of the project ➤ Consultation with the IPs
E &S Assessment	Detail assessment of the Environmental and Social Impact is E &S Assessment done based on the provided feasibility study and detail	➤ Identification of the affected IP Communities ➤ Types of Impact ➤ Proposed Mitigation measures ➤ Preparation of IPDP

IPPF: SRCTIP-Trade Facilitation Component

	project design. All the potential environmental and social impact will be assessed, and corresponding mitigation measures will be proposed.	
Bidding and Contract	Based on the Feasibility Study, Detail Design and E&S study the findings are incorporated in the bid document. The bidders are examined and selected, and the contract will be awarded to the successful bidders.	➤ Incorporation of the requirement in the contract document
Construction	Once the contract is awarded to the successful bidders the contractors have to fulfill all the requirements as listed in the Bidding document and construction is started once all the clearance is received	➤ Implementation of the IPDP ➤ Mitigation of the Potential Impact ➤ Enhancement of the benefit ➤ Monitoring of the IPDP implementation
Closure	This is also called the end of the implementation at this stage the project almost implemented	➤ Monitoring and Evaluation of the IPDP and Post Audit

6. Implementation of the Specific Measures to IPs

Specific Implementation measures for indigenous peoples are outlined in table below. Source of funding and the agencies responsible to implement the proposed strategies are included in the table.

Table 6.1: Specific Measures for Indigenous People

Proposed Strategies	Sources of Funding	Agencies Responsible
A. Inclusion		
• Ensure awareness raising, active participation and capacity building of the IP communities • Ensure of participation in awareness campaign, project implementation and monitoring • Ensure equal wages for similar work during implementation • Launch project information campaign to inform the target groups about the key features of the project and sub project.	GON	MoICS/NITDB/MoALD
B. Programme Planning		
• Asses and analyze the presence of indigenous people's communities in subcomponent sites • Treat and support indigenous people, preferentially • Involve indigenous people in beneficiary groups to increase their participation. • Define training/income generation activities based on the identified	GON	PCO/MoICS/NITDB/MoALD

Proposed Strategies	Sources of Funding	Agencies Responsible
needs and priorities of IP in the subproject area.		
C. Capacity Building		
• Conduct project related meetings in indigenous community areas to encourage their participation. Ensure a quorum which includes representation from IP groups. • Provide targeted assistance/training aimed at IP groups to enhance livelihoods and participation in the subcomponents • Built in awareness campaign about the project in the subproject • Build capacity of indigenous peoples, promoting necessary knowledge and skills to participate in subcomponent activities • Develop capacity through trainings on application of Agriculture Information system to small farmers	GON	PCO/MoICS/NITDB/MoALD

7. Stakeholder Engagement, Public Consultation and Disclosure

The development of the IPPF/IPDP will follow a participatory approach to enable indigenous peoples have a role in the project planning and development process. Till the date the detail project activities is yet to be finalized, once the detail project activities and location will be identified the indigenous people that may have impact due to the implementation of the project have been interviewed on an individual basis, consulted in group discussions and meetings in order to understand and collect their views on their

IPPF: SRCTIP-Trade Facilitation Component

needs, priorities, and preference regarding the project implementation. Separate focus group discussions were held with indigenous communities to assess the project impacts and benefits to these groups. Accordingly, the ESA, IPDP, RAP, will be prepared with their feedback of concerns, requests and recommendations fully considered.

The IPPF/IPDP implementation will continue this participatory approach to enable meaningful and effective participation of indigenous and other vulnerable communities. The project's IPPF includes a strategy for the ongoing involvement of Indigenous People, in project preparation and implementation. Core components of this strategy are (a) the representation of Indigenous people on IPPF/IPDP implementation structures; (b) a grievance management system for the resolution of grievances and disputes; and (c) monitoring and evaluation mechanisms to track implementation issues. The detailed implementing plans will be developed jointly with the indigenous and other vulnerable communities. The project team will work with them on the community schemes. For household-specific schemes and activities, the project team will work with individual households belonging to indigenous people to develop and implement their household-specific schemes.

Outcome of IPPF/IPDP programs and plans developed for indigenous will be disseminated through appropriate means of communication. SRCTIP-Trade Component will use a range of communication/information dissemination mechanisms, including written documents (information sheets and newsletters), Social Media, FM radio broadcasts through local radio stations, community meetings, focus group discussions, participatory appraisal techniques, household interviews and social mobilization techniques. A key focus of these consultations will be the project's Entitlement Matrix and impact mitigation measures. These topics will be further discussed extensively to ensure that individual households are aware of the different compensation and impact mitigation measures so that they can make informed choices. In particular, it will be important for the indigenous and other vulnerable households to have a thorough understanding of the following:

- Entitlements for the loss of private assets (land, trees and other assets);
- Entitlements for the loss of access to communal resources; and
- Entitlement eligibility criteria.

The project will adopt mainstreaming and targeted approaches to maximize the project benefits and opportunities for indigenous people.

Mainstreaming approach includes increased participation and proportionate representation of indigenous communities in various user groups and committees formed under the project

promoted and sponsored social development activities so that their needs, priorities, interests and perspectives are reflected in project planning and implementation.

Targeted approach will cater indigenous people through livelihood enhancement skills training activities to enable them to take full advantage of project opportunities and benefit, including employment opportunities. Livelihood enhancement skills training will be targeted to these groups on the basis of their specific needs and priorities.

8. Grievance Redress Mechanism

In order to address the incoming grievances in regard to implementation of the SRCTIP-Trade Facilitation Component, two level grievance redresses committee will be formed; one at the project level and next at the Central (Ministry) Level. The project level GRC has recorded all the grievances at site office and will analyzed the grievances and central submit its recommendation to the central level GRC for further action. The Central Level GRC will take the decision of the grievance and sent the decision to the project level GRC for disclosure to the complaining parties. The complaining parties will be notified about the decision through appropriate means. The GRM will be functional throughout the project cycle. Details of the project-level GRM are provided in the Stakeholder Engagement Plan for the project.

9. Implementation Arrangement

The Ministry of Industry, Commerce and Supplies (MoICS) and Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock Development (MoALD) will be the implementing agencies of the trade component. As such, a Project Coordination Unit (PCU) will be established within MoICS/MoALD. The PCU will be responsible for the day-to-day implementation of the component and for coordinating with the Department of Roads and other the relevant implementing ministries/agencies. The PCU will have Project Director, a full-time Project Coordinator and a Finance Specialist. The PCO will also recruit Specialists/Consultants for procurement, environmental and social safeguards and engineers. The PCO will also hire Construction Supervision Consultant to oversee and supervise the implementation.

MoICS/MoALD has experience in managing environmental and social risks and impacts safeguard issues related to the Bank funded projects. The PCU will be included in E&S capacity building program for MoICS and MoALD supported by The World Bank.

10. Monitoring and Evaluation of IPDP

Regular monitoring of IPDP implementation will be conducted by the PCU E &S Team through the help of the consultant to verify:

- Actions and commitments described in the IPDP are implemented fully on time;
- Eligible affected people received their full compensation entitlements within agreed timeframe;
- IPDP actions and mitigation measures are effective in sustainably enhancing the livelihood of IPs affected peoples;
- Complaints and grievances lodged by IPs are followed up and that where necessary, appropriate corrective actions are implemented; and
- If necessary, changes in IPDP procedure are made to improve delivery planned action to IPs

The PCU E &S Team will prepare the monitoring and evaluation report of IPDP and submitted to the WB periodically. The frequency of the reporting will be determined base on the project's impact level to IP communities and will be endorsed by the World Bank during IPDP preparation.

Annex 1: Outline of elements in an IPDP

Outline of elements required for an Indigenous Peoples Development Plan (IPDP) include the following:

- a. A summary of the targeted social assessment, including the applicable legal and institutional framework and baseline data.
- b. A summary of the results of the meaningful consultation tailored to IPs, and if the project involves the three circumstances specified in paragraph 24 of ESS7, then the outcome of the process of FPIC carried out with the affected IPs during project preparation.
- c. A framework for meaningful consultation tailored to IPs during project implementation.
- d. Measures for ensuring IPs receive social and economic benefits that are culturally appropriate and gender sensitive and steps for implementing them. If necessary, this may call for measures to enhance the capacity of the project implementing agencies.
- e. Measures to avoid, minimize, mitigate, or compensate IPs for any potential adverse impacts that were identified in the social assessment, and steps for implementing them.
- f. The cost estimates, financing plan, schedule, and roles and responsibilities for implementing the IPDP.
- g. Accessible procedures appropriate to the project to address grievances by the affected IPs arising from project implementation, as described in paragraph 35 of ESS7 and in ESS10.
- h. Mechanisms and benchmarks appropriate to the project for monitoring, evaluating, and reporting on the implementation of the IPDP, including ways to consider input from project-affected IPDP in such mechanisms.